

Previous presentations

- 11/02 (Pentagon)
- 1/03 (SNA symposium)

Sea Power 21 vision:

- Transformation
- Modernization
- Management

What is transformation? Some recent definitions:

- 6 critical operational goals from QDR
- precision long-range strike, mobile/agile/lethal forces, UVs, SOF
- primarily, network-centric warfare/C4ISR
- primarily, becoming expeditionary
- shifting resources from bureaucracy to battlefield (improved business practices and processes)
- order-of-magnitude improvements in capability
- lots of smaller improvements added together
- something to do with horses
- definition not important – it's the process that counts
- modernization in general
- the program of record
- my program

An earlier definition:

- Large-scale, discontinuous, possibly disruptive changes in military weapons, concepts of operations, and organization that are
 - made possible by new technologies
 - or
 - made necessary by new international security challenges
- Compared to some definitions
 - broader
 - more demanding
 - not limited to weapons and technology

How important is it to lead the way on transformation?

- OSD views
- FY04 budget and FYDP
- Term has been debased
- Current/future OSD leaders
- Defining naval transformation
- Program legitimacy

Assessing transformation efforts – 3 criteria

- content
 - concepts, experiments, programs
- framework
 - organizes efforts and explains them internally
- message
 - communicates key themes externally
 - and does something else

Content: some key elements of naval transformation

Legacy Navy

- Mid-ocean/stand-alone
- Stealth in subs, SEALs
- Platform-centric ops
- Manned platforms only
- Manpower-intensive
- Sorties per target
- Shore bases for joint expeditionary ops
- Traditional formations
- Traditional ship deployment cycles
- Trad'l business practices

Transformed Navy

- Littoral/joint orientation
- Stealthy a/c, surface ships
- Network-centric ops
- Significant use of UVs
- Lean/optimal manning
- Targets per sortie
- Sea basing for joint expeditionary ops
- Novel formations
- Innovative cycles for greater on-station rates
- Streamlined practices

Transformation framework vs. transformation message

- transformation framework
 - organizes and explains transformation efforts internally
 - comprehensive – includes all transformation efforts and their relationships to one another
 - several levels of details and programmatic
- transformation message
 - immediately and clearly identifies the most important transformation efforts to outsiders
 - not comprehensive – focuses on a select number of most important efforts
 - top-level themes that are immediately self-explanatory without details and programmatic

A transformation message for the Navy would provide immediately clear, top-level answers for outsiders to questions such as:

- What are the most important ways that transformation will change the Navy?
- How will tomorrow's Navy differ from today's as a result of transformation?
- Where is the Navy now, and where is it going under transformation?
- Why is Navy transformation important?
- Where can money be applied to support it?

Example of a transformation message:

Army:

- The Army is transforming by moving from the legacy force (A) to the interim force (B) to the objective force (C).
 - The Army is currently at A. It's plan is to move to B, and then to C.
- In moving from A to C, the Army will become more mobile and more agile, while remaining sufficiently lethal.
- These changes are needed if Army is to remain relevant in meeting future military challenges.

Army transformation message:

Legacy Army
(“where we are”)

- Not so mobile
- Not so agile
- Lethal

Transformed Army
(“where we’re going”)

- Much more mobile
- Much more agile
- Still lethal (through different means)

- identifies immediately to outsiders 3 key changes involved in Army transformation
- 3 key changes are self-explanatory
- answers questions such as: How will transformation change the Army? Why is this important? Where can money be applied to support it?

Another example of a transformation message:

Submarine community:

- The submarine community has summarized its transformation message in 8 words:
 - “Get connected, get payload, get modular, get electric”
- These changes will fully realize the potential of the submarine and are needed if submarines are to be cost-effective in supporting future joint expeditionary operations

Submarine transformation message:

Legacy sub force
(“where we are”)

Transformed sub force
(“where we’re going”)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Not well connected– Limited payload– Limited modularity– Mechanical drive | <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Integral part of network– Bigger/more varied payload– Substantial modularity– Integrated electric drive |
|--|--|
-
- signals immediately to outsiders 4 key changes involved in sub transformation
 - 4 key changes are (fairly) self-explanatory
 - answers questions such as: How will transformation change the sub force? Why is this important? Where can money be applied to support it?

Does Sea Power 21 work as a transformation framework?

Yes:

- divides Navy transformation efforts into 3 main categories, with associated supporting activities, to organize and explain transformation efforts internally
- comprehensive – can include all Navy transformation efforts and their relationships to one another
- several levels of details and programmatic

Does Sea Power 21 work as a transformation message?

No:

- does not immediately and clearly identify to outsiders the key changes involved in naval transformation
- comprehensive rather than selective
- top-level terms are not sufficiently self-explanatory
- as a result, does not provide immediate, clear, top-level answers for outsiders to questions such as:
 - Where is the Navy now, and where is it going?
 - What are the most important ways that transformation will change the Navy?
 - Why is this important?
 - Where can money be applied to support it?

Why does Sea Power 21 not work as a transformation message?

- Key terms – Sea Power 21, Sea Strike, Sea Shield, Sea Basing – do not by themselves convey any specific information about nature/direction of change
 - They don't say anything specific about where the Navy is, where it's going, what the difference is between the two, or why it's important
- Key terms can be used equally to describe either today's Navy or several possible future Navies
 - “Hasn't the Navy always provided sea power, sea strike, sea control, and sea basing?”
- A 5,000-word article is not the answer
- Filling in more supporting details is not the answer

Navy transformation non-message:

Legacy Navy
(“where we are”)

- Not Sea Strike?
- Not Sea Shield?
- Not Sea Basing?

Transformed Navy
(“where we’re going”)

- Sea Strike (what’s that?)
- Sea Shield (what’s that?)
- Sea Basing (what’s that?)

- does not immediately identify to outsiders the key changes involved in Navy transformation
- terms used are not sufficiently self-explanatory
- does not answer questions such as: How will transformation change the Navy? Why is this important? Where can money be applied to support it?

Developing a transformation message – one approach:

Legacy Navy

- Mid-ocean/stand-alone
- Stealth in subs, SEALs
- Platform-centric ops
- Manned platforms only
- Manpower-intensive
- Sorties per target
- Shore bases for joint expeditionary ops
- Traditional formations
- Traditional ship deployment cycles
- Trad'l business practices

Transformed Navy

- Littoral/joint orientation
- Stealthy a/c, surface ships
- *Network-centric ops*
- *Significant use of UVs*
- *Lean/optimal manning*
- Targets per sortie
- *Sea basing for joint expeditionary ops*
- Novel formations
- *Innovative cycles for greater on-station rates*
- Streamlined practices

Potential Navy transformation message (example):

Legacy Navy

(where we are)

- Not networked
- Manned platforms only
- Manpower-intensive
- Trad'l deploy cycles
- Shore bases

Transformed Navy

(where we're going)

- Fully networked
- Significant use of UVs
- Lean/optimal manning
- Innovative, efficient cycles
- Sea basing for exped. ops

- signals immediately to outsiders 5 key changes involved in Navy transformation
- 5 key changes are fairly self-explanatory
- answers questions such as: How will transformation change the Navy? Why is this important? Where can money be applied to support it?

Potential resulting transformation message (example):

“The legacy Navy was a collection of isolated, manpower-intensive platforms that deployed overseas using traditional and not-very-efficient methods.

“Sea Power 21 will transform the Navy into an efficiently manned network of ships, aircraft, and unmanned vehicles that will deploy overseas efficiently and engage in sea-based joint expeditionary operations.

“Navy transformation, in other words, will focus on 5 key changes – networking, unmanned vehicles, efficient manning, innovative deployment cycles, and sea-based expeditionary operations. These changes are urgently needed to meet tomorrow’s military challenges.”

How important is marketing?

- would additional funding help?
- would not marketing the Navy vigorously be in the country's best interests?

Is the need for a message just about marketing?

- no, because the message doesn't just communicate key themes externally
- it also does something else – it communicates key themes *internally*
 - identifies and keeps focus on a limited number of strategic goals – the top priorities
 - limited time for accomplishing goals

Summary

- Sea Power 21 includes but is not limited to transformation
- Leading the way on transformation may be important
- Assessing a transformation effort involves looking for content, framework, and message
- Navy has transformation content, and a transformation framework
- But Navy lacks a clear transformation message
- Navy can develop a clear message
- Marketing the Navy may be important
- And having a clear message isn't just about marketing, but about identifying and focusing on a limited number of strategic transformation goals that can be accomplished